Field	Value		
Testing Organization Name	AV-TEST Institute GmbH		
Test Plan Name	AV-TEST Android Consumer 2024 Test Series – Incremental Compliance Report for January and February 2024 Tests [AMTSO-LS1-TP103]		
Compliance Assessors	Scott Jeffreys, John Hawes		
Compliance Assessment Date	April 5th, 2024		
Report Summary and Commentary	 Fourteen (14) test subject vendors were asked to supply Phase 2 Commentary on this test plan for the January 2024 and February 2024 testing cycle. Formal commentary and additional e-mails were received from three (3) test subject vendors covering four (4) products (Avast, AVG, ESET, Kaspersky). 		
	2. Discussion of Criterion 5.8: Links from the AV-TEST Test Report or a representative website back to AMTSO's Compliance Summary web page containing information about this test were missing. As a result, vendor/tester commentary could not be easily accessed as required by Criterion 5.8. AMTSO has been informed that the required links are being implemented and will be in place for future test cycles.		
	3. Discussion of Criterion 3.1 and Participant Status in General: While a theoretical route for Test Subject Vendors to adopt Participant status was provided by the tester, instructions were insufficiently unclear; in the absence of a complete list of Test Subject Vendors at the time of test commencement, AMTSO was unable to provide direct issue of requests for Phase 1 Commentary to reinforce options to adopt Participant status.		
	4. Discussion of Criterion 5.3, Criterion 5.9, and Dispute access in general: Description of the policy surrounding access to disputes was insufficiently clear and open to inaccurate understanding of the intent that disputes would be accessible to paying clients only. While disputes from at least one "included" Test Subject Vendor were eventually accepted, corrections to the report were not "timely" as required by Criterion 5.9 (Standard item 9.2.8), and indication of unequal dispute access was not noted per Criterion 5.3 (Standard item 9.2.4.12.1).		
	5. This incremental Test Series check is NOT confirmed compliant with AMTSO Standard V1.3 due to issues with Criteria 5.3, 5.8 and 5.9.		

Field	/alue	
Notifications	 Criterion 1.1: Contact information is current and managed contact list. 	valid in the AMTSO
	• Criterion 1.2: Participants have been notified reg through Public Notification or Direct Contact.	arding this Test
	 Criterion 1.3: The Test Plan is included with the n Participants. 	otification sent to
	 Criterion 1.4: The Test Plan is available to all pote Vendors upon request. 	ential Test Subject
	 Criterion 1.5: The Test Plan is available on the AN Public Test. 	1TSO web site for a
	• Criterion 1.6: The Test Plan is distributed within t requirements prior to the Test Commencement Date.	he time line
Test Plan Content	 Criterion 2.1: The Test Plan includes a Statement follow the AMTSO Testing Protocol Standards. 	of Intention to
	 Criterion 2.2: The Test Plan includes a Statement indicating the criteria for the product types and threat Test. 	
	 Criterion 2.3: The Test Plan includes the Test Con date(s). 	nmencement
	 Criterion 2.4: The Test Plan includes a Test Sched Participant dates including periods for product configure 	,
	• Criterion 2.5: A Test Methodology describing the execute the Test and Test Plan is defined.	process used to
	• Criterion 2.6: The Test Plan details the Test Environment aims to represent.	onment and what
	 Criterion 2.7: The Test Plan includes a policy on reversion information. 	eporting product
	 Criterion 2.8: The Test Plan details how the test w how (if any) certifications given will be measured. 	vill be scored and
	• Criterion 2.9: The Test Plan provides any dispute	process details.

Field	alue	
	• Criterion 2.10: The Test selection process for use in t	t Plan details the Sample acquisition and this Test.
	• Criterion 2.11: The Test and classified.	t Plan details how Samples will be validated
		tial Test Subject Vendors are given the option t, then the opt-out option is honored if
	• Criterion 2.13: The Test sample provenance and Sam	t Plan provides high-level details on the nple Curation strategy.
	• Criterion 2.14: The Test Curated Sample feedback is	t Plan provides a description as to how solicited and processed.
		t Plan defines whether Test Subject Vendor tion is restricted to Participants.
		t Plan defines whether a Vendor can opt-out tted explains how that process works.
	• Criterion 2.17: The Test Participants to provide adva	t Plan provides instructions for potential nced configuration details.
Voluntary Participation	 Criterion 3.1: All Test Set become official Participants. 	ubject Vendors are given the option to
	• Criterion 3.2: All Partici services were being included	pants are notified which products and I in the Test.
		pants are given the opportunity to review the cts in the Test Environment on request.
	• Criterion 3.4: All Partici commentary on the Test.	pants are given the opportunity to provide
	 Criterion 3.5: All Partici a Participation Attestation. 	pants are given the opportunity to complete
		pants are asked to disclose any unlicensed rial prior to the Product being tested.

Field	Value
Testing Process	 Criterion 4.1: All product logs, tested configurations, and environmental details generated during the Test are retained until all disputes are resolved. Criterion 4.2: Participants are contacted prior to the Test's completion when their product(s) are suspected of malfunctioning. Criterion 4.3: Participants are notified of the Test's completion with performance feedback and Test records made available for review. Criterion 4.4: Participants are given the opportunity to review their product configurations at the Test's completion on request.
Test Report and Feedback	 Criterion 5.1: Test results are presented in a clear, understandable format. Criterion 5.2: The Test Report includes the tested product names and version information. Criterion 5.3: The Test Report discloses any additional products or services related to this Test which were made available to Participants. Criterion 5.4: The Test Report includes a reference to the Test Plan. Criterion 5.5: The Test Report includes details of what Tests were run including the dates and times. Criterion 5.6: The Test Report provides details of how the results can be used. Criterion 5.7: The Test Report includes specific scores or certifications awarded. Criterion 5.8: The Test Report includes a link to the AMTSO web site where commentary or additional information may be found. Criterion 5.9: If any disputes extend beyond the Test Report's publication, then subsequent updates are made in a clear and timely manner. Criterion 5.10: Participants are afforded the opportunity to audit their solution configuration and attach commentary to the Test covering the Test itself and the specific solution's results.

Field	Value	
	•	Criterion 5.11: Test Subject Vendors other than Participants are given the chance to attach commentary to the Test explaining why said Test Subject Vendor did not adopt Participant status.
Attestations	•	Criterion 6.1: The Tester may charge for involvement in a Test, but may not charge Test Subject Vendors additional fees to adopt Participant status.
	٠	Criterion 6.2: Any material conflicts of interest that could impact the Test's reliability have been disclosed to AMTSO and as part of the Test Report.
	٠	Criterion 6.3: All products included in your AMTSO Accredited Test are fairly and equally analyzed.
	٠	Criterion 6.4: Any anticipated inequity in your test design is disclosed to all Participants.
	٠	Criterion 6.5: Details disclosing how the test was funded are available.