Field	Value
Testing Organization Name	Testing Ground Labs
Test Plan Name	**TGL Android Malware Protection Test for February 2026 [AMTSO-LS1-TP189]**
Compliance Assessor's Name	Scott Jeffreys
Compliance Assessment Date	March 30th, 2026
Report Summary and Commentary	**1.** Nine (9) test subject vendor products were covered in the issued test plan report. All vendors were invited to participate in the commentary phase of this test. **2.** Phase 1 and Phase 2 Commentary was received from GenDigital covering three (3) test subject vendor products (Avast, Avira, Norton) without any issues having been raised. **3.** Phase 1 and Phase 2 Commentary was not received from six (6) test subject vendors (AhnLab, Dr. Web, ESET, Kaspersky, McAfee, and Total Defense/Mimecast). **4.** One (1) test subject vendor who appeared in the original test plan (Bit Defender) was dropped from the final reporting. **5. This test is confirmed compliant with AMTSO Standard V1.3.**
Notifications	• ☑ Criterion 1.1: Contact information is current and valid in the AMTSO managed contact list. • ☑ Criterion 1.2: Participants have been notified regarding this Test through Public Notification or Direct Contact. • ☑ Criterion 1.3: The Test Plan is included with the notification sent to Participants. • ☑ Criterion 1.4: The Test Plan is available to all potential Test Subject Vendors upon request. • ☑ Criterion 1.5: The Test Plan is available on the AMTSO web site for a Public Test. • ☑ Criterion 1.6: The Test Plan is distributed within the time line requirements prior to the Test Commencement Date.

Field	Value
Test Plan Content	• ☑ Criterion 2.1: The Test Plan includes a Statement of Intention to follow the AMTSO Testing Protocol Standards. • ☑ Criterion 2.2: The Test Plan includes a Statement of Purpose indicating the criteria for the product types and threats to be used in the Test. • ☑ Criterion 2.3: The Test Plan includes the Test Commencement date(s). • ☑ Criterion 2.4: The Test Plan includes a Test Schedule with key Participant dates including periods for product configuration consultation. • ☑ Criterion 2.5: A Test Methodology describing the process used to execute the Test and Test Plan is defined. • ☑ Criterion 2.6: The Test Plan details the Test Environment and what said Environment aims to represent. • ☑ Criterion 2.7: The Test Plan includes a policy on reporting product version information. • ☑ Criterion 2.8: The Test Plan details how the test will be scored and how (if any) certifications given will be measured. • ☑ Criterion 2.9: The Test Plan provides any dispute process details. • ☑ Criterion 2.10: The Test Plan details the Sample acquisition and selection process for use in this Test. • ☑ Criterion 2.11: The Test Plan details how Samples will be validated and classified. • ☑ Criterion 2.12: If potential Test Subject Vendors are given the option not to participate in the Test, then the opt-out option is honored if requested. • ☑ Criterion 2.13: The Test Plan provides high-level details on the sample provenance and Sample Curation strategy. • ☑ Criterion 2.14: The Test Plan provides a description as to how Curated Sample feedback is solicited and processed. • ☑ Criterion 2.15: The Test Plan defines whether Test Subject Vendor participation in Sample Curation is restricted to Participants. • ☑ Criterion 2.16: The Test Plan defines whether a Vendor can opt-out of a Public Test and if permitted explains how that process works. • ☑ Criterion 2.17: The Test Plan provides instructions for potential Participants to provide advanced configuration details.

Field	Value
Participation	• ☑ Criterion 3.1: All Test Subject Vendors are given the option to become official Participants. • ☑ Criterion 3.2: All Participants are notified which products and services were being included in the Test. • ☑ Criterion 3.3: All Participants are given the opportunity to review the configuration of their products in the Test Environment on request. • ☑ Criterion 3.4: All Participants are given the opportunity to provide commentary on the Test. • ☑ Criterion 3.5: All Participants are given the opportunity to complete a Participation Attestation. • ☑ Criterion 3.6: All Participants are asked to disclose any unlicensed third-party intellectual material prior to the Product being tested.
Testing Process	• ☑ Criterion 4.1: All product logs, tested configurations, and environmental details generated during the Test are retained until all disputes are resolved. • ☑ Criterion 4.2: Participants are contacted prior to the Test's completion when their product(s) are suspected of malfunctioning. • ☑ Criterion 4.3: Participants are notified of the Test's completion with performance feedback and Test records made available for review. • ☑ Criterion 4.4: Participants are given the opportunity to review their product configurations at the Test's completion on request.
Test Report and Feedback	• ☑ Criterion 5.1: Test results are presented in a clear, understandable format. • ☑ Criterion 5.2: The Test Report includes the tested product names and version information. • ☑ Criterion 5.3: The Test Report discloses any additional products or services related to this Test which were made available to Participants. • ☑ Criterion 5.4: The Test Report includes a reference to the Test Plan. • ☑ Criterion 5.5: The Test Report includes details of what Tests were run including the dates and times. • ☑ Criterion 5.6: The Test Report provides details of how the results can be used.

Field	Value
	• ☑ Criterion 5.7: The Test Report includes specific scores or certifications awarded. • ☑ Criterion 5.8: The Test Report includes a link to the AMTSO web site where commentary or additional information may be found. • ☑ Criterion 5.9: If any disputes extend beyond the Test Report's publication, then subsequent updates are made in a clear and timely manner. • ☑ Criterion 5.10: Participants are afforded the opportunity to audit their solution configuration and attach commentary to the Test covering the Test itself and the specific solution's results. • ☑ Criterion 5.11: Test Subject Vendors other than Participants are given the chance to attach commentary to the Test explaining why said Test Subject Vendor did not adopt Participant status.
Attestations	• ☑ Criterion 6.1: The Tester may charge for involvement in a Test, but may not charge Test Subject Vendors additional fees to adopt Participant status. • ☑ Criterion 6.2: Any material conflicts of interest that could impact the Test's reliability have been disclosed to AMTSO and as part of the Test Report. • ☑ Criterion 6.3: All products included in your AMTSO Accredited Test are fairly and equally analyzed. • ☑ Criterion 6.4: Any anticipated inequity in your test design is disclosed to all Participants. • ☑ Criterion 6.5: Details disclosing how the test was funded are available.